

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/694,807	10/29/2003	Masahiro Takenaka	4074-9 6780		
23117	7590 11/17/2004		EXAMINER		
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 1100 N GLEBE ROAD			GUERRERO, MARIA F		
8TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON	, VA 22201-4714	2822			
		DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/694,80	7	TAKENAKA, MASAHIRO				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Maria Gue	errero	2822	And			
Period for A SH THE - Exte after - If the If NO - Failu Any earn	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 O This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware.	Y IS SET TO 36(a). In no every within the statu will apply and will , cause the apply g date of this cor	O EXPIRE 3 MONTH(sometiment, however, may a reply be time story minimum of thirty (30) days a septiment of the septiment of t	S) FROM nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this con O (35 U.S.C. § 133). , may reduce any	nmunication.			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b)[drawing(s) b ion is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFF	• •			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforn	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	152)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is the First Action on the merits.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 1-20 are pending.

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

4. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Information Disclosure Statement

5. The information disclosure statement filed October 29, 2003 has been considered.

Duplicate Claims

6. Applicant is advised that should claims 4 and 14 be found allowable, claims 7, 10 and 20 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after

Art Unit: 2822

allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant admitted prior art in view of Perera (U.S. 5,786,263).

Applicant admitted prior art teaches a method of semiconductor device fabrication using a semiconductor substrate comprising a lattice-strain relaxed silicon germanium layer (22) and a lattice strained silicon layer (24) formed in this order of mention onto a silicon substrate (21) (Fig. 4A-4C, pages 1-2). Applicant admitted prior art shows an etching step of etching the portions for device isolation regions of the semiconductor substrate to form device isolation regions (Fig. 4C, pages 1-2). Applicant admitted prior art discloses depositing a protective film for protecting portions for device activity regions onto the surface of the semiconductor substrate before the etching step (Fig. 4B).

Applicant admitted prior does not specifically show a deposition step of depositing a silicon film on the semiconductor substrate and an oxidation step of completely oxidizing the deposited silicon film. Applicant admitted prior does not specifically show

Page 4

Art Unit: 2822

the silicon film having the thickness as claimed. However, Perera shows a deposition step of depositing a silicon film on the semiconductor substrate and an oxidation step of completely oxidizing the deposited silicon film (col. 2, lines 34-47). Perera teaches the silicon film having a thickness ranging from 5 to 60 nanometers (col. 2, lines 36-45).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Applicant admitted prior by including the steps of depositing a silicon film on the semiconductor substrate and completely oxidizing the deposited silicon film as taught by Perera in order to minimize the formation of voids in the isolation structures (Perera, col. 5, lines 10-15).

8. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lmai et al. (U.S. 5,847,419) in view of Perera (U.S. 5,786,263).

Imai et al. teaches a method of semiconductor device fabrication using a semiconductor substrate comprising a lattice-strain relaxed silicon germanium layer (15) and a lattice strained silicon layer (16) formed in this order of mention onto a silicon substrate (Fig. 3D, col. 4, lines 55-65, col. 8, lines 37-45). Imai et al. shows an etching step of etching the portions for device isolation regions of the semiconductor substrate to form device isolation regions (Fig. 3G, col. 8, lines 54-67). Imai et al. discloses using a semiconductor substrate comprising a lattice-strain relaxed silicon germanium layer, one or more semiconductor layers and a lattice strained silicon layer formed in this order of mention onto a silicon substrate (Fig. 9A-11C, col. 11, lines 64-67, col. 12, lines 1-51). Imai et al. shows depositing a protective film for protecting portions for device

Art Unit: 2822

activity regions onto the surface of the semiconductor substrate before the etching step (Fig. 3F-3G, col. 8, lines 54-60).

Imai et al. does not specifically show a deposition step of depositing a silicon film on the semiconductor substrate and an oxidation step of completely oxidizing the deposited silicon film. Imai et al. does not specifically show the silicon film having the thickness as claimed. However, Perera shows a deposition step of depositing a silicon film on the semiconductor substrate and an oxidation step of completely oxidizing the deposited silicon film (col. 2, lines 34-47). Perera teaches the silicon film having a thickness ranging from 5 to 60 nanometers (col. 2, lines 36-45).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Imai et al. reference by including the steps of depositing a silicon film on the semiconductor substrate and completely oxidizing the deposited silicon film as taught by Perera in order to minimize the formation of voids in the isolation structures (Perera, col. 5, lines 10-15).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Liou et al. (U.S. 5,130,268) and Jun (U.S. 5,915,191) teach the step of depositing a silicon film an oxidizing the silicon film as well known in the art. Xiang (U.S. 6,600,170), Chu et al. (U.S. 5,963,817), Herbots et al. (U.S. 5,241,214), Wang et al. (U.S. 5,155,571), and Hsu et al. (U.S. 6,583,000) show several embodiments related to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,807 Page 6

Art Unit: 2822

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maria Guerrero whose telephone number is 571-272-1837.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 571-272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

November 8, 2004

MARIA F. GUERRERO PRIMARY EXAMINER